P21

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Reserve File No. 169—17

1917. 18

Serial Nos. 1 & 2.

(Police)

Later references.

police 24-19(3,4,7)

" 153-19(1-6)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2.

Part B for November 1918.

SUBJECT.

Irregular & objectionable Course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid in regard to a sum of Rs 420/- offered as reward in a Certain Case.

Sl 2. To.

The Inspector General of Police

No. G. 8028 dated 5th November 1918.

Passing Orders Censuring Mr Abdul Wajid Supdt of Police.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

G. R. S. III—1] 191768

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 16 . 17

FILE HEADING.

Irregular & objectionable course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid in regard to a sum of Rs 620 offered as reward in a certain case.

From

The I. G. of Police in Mysore.

No. 8178/593 Dated 28/29 . 5 . 18 Received 30/31 . 5 . 18

Diary No. 7280	Enclos. 1 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of his order re the consent of Police Inspector B. R. Subbarao regarding the amount of Rs 620 offered as reward in a case & suggests that the disposal of govt. may be conveyed to Mr. Abdul Wajid as the course adopted by him in the matter was irregular & objectionable.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders.

PH 5.6.18.

2 The Inspector General's order in the case explains the facts in full. The explanation of the Superintendent is that the liberal action of the award amount for the purposes was unavoidable

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-16

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 169 of 1917. 2

Serial No.

and that he brought to the notice of the Hon Ble. of Police all the circumstances under which the amount was utilised. It is a point for orders as to how far this explanation must be considered satisfactory. The reward sanctioned should have been immediately disbursed to the men entitled thereto. Obviously the delay over one year in paying the reward was objectionable. The D.S.P cannot be supplied in his order to utilise the amount for other purposes. The order was irregular and is not warranted by any ~~stand~~ authority. Even oral orders and oral explanation ~~in such cases~~ should be deprecated especially in matters of money belonging to Govt.

Submitted for orders

8/6

(Chief Secretary)

3 The displeasure of Govt may be communicated to Mr Wajid, as recommended by the Hon Ble Police

(1st member)

8/6

7/6/16

4 Yes. This is the least which Govt can take of the conduct of the D.S.P. The Inspector certainly deserved much more than what the H.A thought fit to punish him with.

8/6

4373
8.6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2806.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1.4 of 191 : 3

Serial No.	
	Subd. with a draft order. W. 15/6
	6 In favour of approval of this order. 4/7 Chief Secretary
	7 1st member 8/7/18
4982/9.7	8 Draft approved by the 1st Member. Bs. 9/7
185/10.7.18	9 Subd to Dewan for perusal before issue. 10/7/18
	10 Case being up at a meeting of Council. 4.8.18
	C.S. 11 Circulate 7/8
	12 In view of the grave nature of the irregularity brought to light & the case to which it relates. I am of opinion that the officer requires the sharpest censures. The Inspector may also be given a Black mark. I think

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9.8.18

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 862

7/8/ 191.8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause v of the Schedule)

Irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid, in connection with a sum of Rs.420 offered as reward in a certain case.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasubramanyam

7th August 1918. Chief *Secretary to Government.*

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. Seen.

Second Member of Council.

157 7.8.18

67 10.8.18

13 opinion recorded 9.8.18

14 hrs 7/8

WD 2670—G.P.B.—1,000—11-5-17

Police file no. 169/1917-18

Extract from the Proceedings of the 460th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 15th August 1918.

---

3. Subject.-Clause v of the Schedule.

Irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid, in connection with a sum of Rs.420 offered as reward in a certain case.

13) OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The draft order as revised may be approved.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,

Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

C Balasundaram Ag

Chief Secretary to Government.

GRSM. 508

C. 862.

G.R.S. III—3] Police file no 169 of 1917-18 6

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Page 16 flag R

14)
It is found in reference to the Police gradation list that Inspector Subbanna is in the last grade of Inspectors. Hence some other mark of displeasure is necessary - Perhaps his allocation to the new scale may be deferred for one year instead of for 6 months as ~~was~~ ordered by the Govt. It will practically amount to degradation as he will get only 40 Rs - against 60 Rs drawn by other people.

1st member

15) Yes.

19/8.

C.S.J.
17/8/18

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 84—GPB—60,000—20-7-16

Police file no. 89 (6) 1917-18

Subject.-~~Clause V of the Schedule.~~

Irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid, in connection with a sum of Rs.420 offered as reward in a certain case.

Opinion of the Council.

16)
The draft under maybe
approved

5.9.18

17)
To the Assistant Secretary, Branch III, (Protection), for submitting to the First Member of Council, with a D.F.N.

P.T.O.

G. R. S. III-2]

2806

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 169 of 1917-18

8

Serial No.

181

D.F.N. & D.S.N. noted.

19) In favour of approval

Es 11/9

Dist. Mendon

20) The draft order & the D.F.N. have been seen & the points raised by them fully considered. I have no further remarks & the draft may be submitted for approval & signature as proposed. Please return draft & file of papers

11/9

21) Draft seen and D.F.N. revised according to the Chief Secy's note of 17.8.18 supra & returned.

22) Please put up a typed draft

Es 19/9

23) Typed D.F.N. & D.S.N. are put up. In favour of approval

Es 21/9

Dist-Mendon approved

23/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1799—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

2806

Page 8

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 169 of 1917.

Serial No.	
1360 24.9.18.	Fair Final Note signed by the 1st Member is put up.
	D.S. 24/9. D.S.n submitted.
1824 25.9.18	Dewan
	24/9/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

10.

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:—

Irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr.Abdul Wajid in connection with a sum of Rs.420 offered as reward in a certain case.

One Channamma Heggadati offered a reward of Rs.420 in a certain case of house breaking and theft which occurred in the Tirthahalli Taluk. This amount, which was received by Inspector B.R.Subbanna, was retained instead of being sent to the Superintendent's office and utilised by him for secret service purposes in this and other cases. The explanations of Mr. Abdul Wajid, the Superintendent of Police, and B.R.Subbanna, the Police Inspector, are that such a utilisation was unavoidable. The explanations for adopting such an objectionable and irregular course are unsatisfactory. For this irregularity it is proposed to convey the displeasure of Government to Mr.Wajid and to put off the Inspector's promotion according to the reorganization scheme for a period of one year.

Draft for approval

The draft put up in accordance with the above view has been agreed to by the Council and may be approved.

Pages 4 & 5 Office Notes

24-Sept 1918

First Member of Council.

DRAFT FINAL NOTE.

-:0:-

Subject --

Irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr. Abdul Wajid in connection with a sum of Rs.420/- offered as reward in a certain case.

One Channamma Heggadati offered a reward of Rs.420/- in a certain case of house breaking and theft which occurred in the Tirthahalli Taluk. This amount which was received by Inspector B.R. Subbanna was retained instead of being sent to the Superintendent's Office and utilised by him for secret service purposes in this & other cases. The explanations of Mr. Abdul Wajid, the Superintendent of Police and B.R. Subbanna the Police Inspector are that such a utilisation was unavoidable. For this irregularity it is proposed to convey the displeasure of Government to Mr. Wajid and to put off the Inspector's promotion according to the re-organization scheme for a period of one year.

The explanations for adopting such an objectionable & irregular course are unsatisfactory

23/9/18

The draft put up in accordance with the above view has been agreed to by the Council.

~~The~~ and may be approved.

R.D.

SGR

12

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith the Final Note of the First Member of Council, regarding the irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr.Abdul Wajid, Superintendent of Police, in connection with a sum of Rs.420/- offered as reward in a certain case.

Draft for approval

2. The draft order referred to therein may be graciously approved.

A. Vishwanath
11-Oct-1918.

(13

C·862

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Action proposed to be taken regarding the irregular and objectionable course adopted by Mr. S. Abdul Wajid, Superintendent of Police, in connection with a sum of Rs.420 offered as reward in a certain case.

Order.

~~KRM~~

3/10/18

No 382
5.10.18.

1564
8.10.18

Chief Secretary
8.10.18

1168-III
8.10.18.

5.10.18.

124.
8.10.18.

2806 (14

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. P.C. File No. 169 of 1917.

Serial No.	
2	To The S.P.G. Poona.
	[illegible]
	No. R. 8128 [illegible]
	[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPE—60,000—20-8-18—S.

(15

No. 8178 Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
5793

Bangalore, Dated 28th May 1918
29

From

K. R. Srinivasiengar Esquire, M.A.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

J 2806

12
Ref. No. 169-17
SERIAL No. 1

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.1713/116 dated the 17th September 1917 submitting a note on the alleged misappropriation by the Shimoga District Police of the reward amount of Rs.420/- produced by one Chennamma Heggadathi in a certain case, I have the honor to submit herewith for the perusal of Government copy of an order dated 28th May 1918 passed by me regarding the conduct of Police Inspector Mr. Subbanna in the case. It will be seen therefrom that the Inspector was to some extent countenanced by the Superintendent(Mr. Abdul Wajid) in the irregular and objectional course adopted by him, for which I would suggest that the displeasure of Government may be conveyed to that officer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

From

The Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secy to the Govt.

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 28th May 1918

29.

G.W.P. 04173

III

No. 8178-593 for 30 MAY 1918

Encl No. /

Submitting a further report in the matter of the misappropriation of reward amount by the Shimoga District Police.

GEN. & JUDICIAL III

30 MAY 1918

31

(16

No. Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, the 28th May 1918.

O R D E R.

From a perusal of the papers, it is seen that the reward of Rs.420/- offered by Chinnamma Heggadathi was produced by her before the Inspector, Mr. B.R. Subbanna, on 13th March 1916 and brought on his cash book the same day, that on 16th March 1916, it was shown in the cash book as having been sent to the Police Superintendent's Office, that as a matter of fact, however, it was not so sent but was retained by the Inspector himself, under the orders, as he says, of the Police Superintendent Mr. S. Abdul Wajid, to be utilized towards secret service charges in this as well as in some other cases, and that the matter having come to the notice of Mr. S. Reuben, who succeeded Mr. Abdul Wajid, in the course of his inspection of the Thirthahalli Taluk Police office in November 1916, enquiries were set on foot by him, with the result that after deducting a sum of Rs.198-12-0 said to have been spent by Mr. Subbanna in secret service charges for which bills had been sent by him, the balance of Rs.221-4-0 was recovered from him and credited to account on 21st May 1917.

The explanation offered by Mr. Subbanna for having retained the amount with him for over a year is that he had been orally authorized by the Superintendent Mr. Abdul Wajid to utilize it towards the secret service expenditure in this and some other cases, that he accordingly used the full amount for the purpose, but that only Rs.198-12-0 was admitted by the Police Superintendent on the bills submitted by him and that the balance of Rs. 221-4-0 was made good by him from his pocket when he was called on to pay it up. Mr. Abdul Wajid, to whom the Inspector's explanation was sent for his remarks, has contented himself with saying that the utilization of the reward amount for other purposes

was unavoidable and that he had brought to the notice of the then Inspector General of Police all the circumstances under which the money had been so utilized.

In the first place, a reference to the Judgment in the burglary case in respect of which the reward was offered shows that it was delivered in January 1916 and any secret service charges that were incurred in the case should have been incurred prior to that date, and the explanation of the Inspector that the amount paid by Chinnamma Heggadathi two months later--in March 1916--was used for the secret service charges in the case is untenable and cannot be accepted. If the Inspector had utilized the amount to reimburse himself for any expenditure that might have been already incurred by him in connection with the case, even then, such use of the amount by him must be characterised as quite irregular and objectionable.

Secondly, the bills submitted by the Inspector having been passed by the Superintendent for only Rs.198-12-0, he should have immediately paid back the balance instead of keeping it with him for nearly a year till it was demanded of him by Mr. Reuben.

Thirdly, -and this is the worst feature of the case-the amount though shown in the Taluk Cash Book as having been sent to the District Police Office, was never sent there nor brought on the cash book of that office. And, but for the accidental discovery of the fact by Mr. Reuben in the course of his inspection of the Taluk Police Office, it is possible that it would have been lost sight of altogether and the Inspector left in undisturbed enjoyment of the amount retained by him. The omission to have the amount brought on the cash book and treated as an advance to the Inspector tends to throw considerable suspicion on the bona fides of the affair.

The Inspector was, no doubt, countenanced to some extent by

(18

the Superintendent Mr. Abdul Wajid in his irregular and objectionable course of conduct, but even then ~~is~~, I think that, in the circumstances of the case, I should not allow his conduct to go unnoticed; and I therefore direct that his promotion to the new scale of Inspectors be withheld for a period of six months.

Sd/- K. R. Srinivasiengar,
Inspector General of Police.

" C O P Y "

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. VI-71]

(19

SUBJECT..... Passing orders censuring Mr. Abdul Wajid -

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Police

File No. 169-17

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 5/11/18

Signed by..... Mr E. S.

Read.—

Letter no. 81.79/5938 dt the 29th May 1918 from the I.G. of Police in Mysore forwarding a copy of his order regarding the conduct of Police Inspector B. R. Subbanna in having retained with him for more than one year a portion of the reward of Rs 420 offered by one Chinnamma of Heggadathi, the other portion having been spent for purposes not intended by the party and stating that the irregular

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Order Thereon:— No.

over.

(27)

course adopted by the Inspector was to some extent countenanced by Mr. S. Abdul Majid, Supdt. of Police. Order: No. G 80-28/Pol 169-17-2 dt 5/11/18

The facts of the case are briefly as follows:

On the 13th March 1916, one Chinnamma Heggadathi of Tirthahalli produced ~~a Sum~~ before the Inspector B. R. Subbanna a sum of Rs 42½/ offered by her as reward on account of the recovery of properties lost in a case of house-breaking & theft. Though this amount was ~~brought to~~ shown in the cash book as having been sent to the Police Supdt's office, it was retained by the Inspector himself, under the orders, as he says, of the Supdt of Police, Mr Abdul Majid, for being utilized towards secret service charges in ~~a few~~ this as well as in ~~cases~~ some other cases. In Nov 1916, when Mr. Reuben inspected the Tirthahalli Taluk Police ~~Offices~~, this was noticed

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

(8)

by him. He is entitled necessary enquiries and after deducting a sum of Rs. 178-12-0 said to have been spent for secret service, he recovers the balance of Rs. 221-4-0 from the Inspector and credits it to accounts on the 21st May 1917.

2. The explanation offered by Inspector Subhanna is that he was orally authorised by the then Supdt. Mr. Abdul Wajid to utilise the sum in question towards secret service expenditure. Mr. Abdul Wajid to whom the explanation of the Inspector was sent for remarks concluded that the utilisation of the reward amount for other purposes was unavoidable.

3. Govt. are constrained to observe that the delay in paying the reward to the persons concerned and the

(22

utilisation of the grand amount for purposes other than that intended by the party offering it are highly irregular and objectionable. The explanations are unsatisfactory & Govt. view with displeasure the conduct of Mr. Abdul Majid in having allowed such an unusual and irregular process in the handing of money received for a particular purpose. The I.G. of Police is requested to communicate this to him.

4. As regards the Inspector Govt. consider that the punishment inflicted by I.G. is inadequate and accordingly direct that the Inspector promotion to the new scale under the revision scheme be postponed for one year.

namely, the postponement of promotion to the new scale for six months

C.S.
4/10/28

Secretary to Govt.

To The I.G. of Police in Simla
Copy to the Council Section.

P.S.
16-3-28

M.—GP.S.—15,000—13-11-11